



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,834	08/07/2001	Robert F. Darveaux	M-10966 US	1262	
22888	590 09/12/2003				
BEVER HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			EXAMINER		
	NNON BLVD., BLDG.	G	ERDEM, FAZLI		
LIVERMORE	, CA 94550		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	an.			
	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	(***			
Office Action Summary		834	DARVEAUX ET AL				
		er	Art Unit				
		dem	2826				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co. - If the period for reply specified above is less than third. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re. - Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. by (30) days, a reply within the st n statutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the ap hs after the mailing date of this c	event, however, may a reaction of thir will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s)) filed on <u>04 June 2003</u>	3 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-16,18-34,36,38-41</u>							
7) Claim(s) <u>7,8,17,35,37,42 and 45</u> i							
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None o	f:			•			
 Certified copies of the prior 	ity documents have be	en received.					
2. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have be	en received in A	pplication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Into * See the attached detailed Office ac 	ernational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a clair 		• •					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/923,834

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 7, 8, 17, 35, 37, 42 and 45 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-16, 18, 19, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 38, 39 and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (5,977,616) in view of view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0109226).

Regarding Claims 1-5, 9-16, 18, 19, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 38 and 41, Wang et al. disclose a thermally and electrically enhanced PBGA package which includes a substrate having a die adhere on it. The die and the substrate are interconnected by means of signal transferring means. Solder bumps are formed on the bottom side surface of the substrate. Molding compound is encapsulated among the substrate, the die and a heat spreader. A heat spreader is arranged over the top surface of the substrate. The heat spreader includes a plane having four supporting members that are set on the bottom side of the plane and at the corners of the plane. The supporting members are protruded from the plane to connect the heat spreaders and the

substrate. The heat spreader further includes a protruded portion. A further supporting member is formed on the central portion of the protruded portion. The substrate has a die paddle formed for receiving die. A power ring is formed around the die paddle on the surface of the substrate for power unit. A ground ring formed around the power ring on the substrate has ground pads. The supporting members of the heat spreader are connected on the ground pads by using the heat spreader attach material. Wang et al. fail to disclose the required encapsulation structure that completely covers the heat slug and the die. However, Khan et al. disclose an enhanced die-down ball grid array and method for making the same where the required encapsulation structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required encapsulation structure in Wang et al. as taught by Khan et al. in order to have a semiconductor packaging structure with better reliability.

3. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. in view of Hawthorne et al. (6,008,991) further in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0109226).

Regarding Claim 6, Wang et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter, except the thermally conducting adhesive. However, Hawthorne et al. disclose an electronic system including packaged integrated circuits with heat spreading standoff support members where the thermally conducting adhesive is shown. Wang et al. and Hawthorne et al. fail to disclose the required encapsulation structure that completely covers the heat slug and the die. However, Khan et al. disclose an enhanced die-down ball grid array and method for making the same where the required encapsulation structure is disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required encapsulation structure in Wang et al. and Hawthorne et al. combination as taught by Khan et al. in order to have a semiconductor packaging structure with better reliability.

Claims 20-23, 43, 44, 46 and 47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 4. over Wang et al. in view of Bernier et al. (6,069,023) further in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0109226).

Regarding Claims 20-23, 43, 44, 46 and 47, Wang et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter in device form. Wang et al. fail to show the method of making such device. However, Bernier et al. disclose heat sinks and method of attaching heat sinks directly to flip chips and ceramic chip carriers. Wang et al. and Bernier et al. fail to disclose the required encapsulation structure that completely covers the heat slug and the die. However, Khan et al. disclose an enhanced die-down ball grid array and method for making the same where the required encapsulation structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required encapsulation structure in Wang et al. and Bernier et al. combination as taught by Khan et al. in order to make a semiconductor packaging structure with better reliability.

Art Unit: 2826

5. Claims 24, 25, 27, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. in view of Huang et al. (6,400,014) further in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0109226).

Regarding Claims 24,25,27, 28, and 29, Wang et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter except it fails to show the heat spreader having contact with the substrate. However, Huang et al. disclose a semiconductor package with a heat sink where the heat sink is in contact with the substrate. Wang et al. and Huang et al. fail to disclose the required encapsulation structure that completely covers the heat slug and the die. However, Khan et al. disclose an enhanced die-down ball grid array and method for making the same where the required encapsulation structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required encapsulation structure in Wang et al. and Huang et al. combination as taught by Khan et al. in order to have a semiconductor packaging structure with better reliability.

6. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. in view of Kajihara (5,616,957) further in view of Khan et al. (US 2002/0109226).

Regarding Claims 24,25,27, 28, and 29, Wang et al. disclose all the claimed subject matter except it fails to show the heat spreader not having contact with the substrate. However, Kajihara discloses a semiconductor package with a heat sink where the heat sink is not in contact with the substrate. Wang et al. and Kajihara et al. fail to disclose the required encapsulation structure that completely covers the heat slug and the die. However, Khan et al. disclose an

Application/Control Number: 09/923,834

Art Unit: 2826

enhanced die-down ball grid array and method for making the same where the required

encapsulation structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include the required encapsulation structure in Wang et al. and Kajihara et

al. combination as taught by Khan et al. in order to have a semiconductor packaging structure

with better reliability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

FE

August 23, 2003

domblem tom

Page 6

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2826